



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/711,566	09/24/2004	Glenn Meckma	27475/06899	5565
24024 7590 10/12/2007 CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400 CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J	
			ART UNIT 3673	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/12/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/711,566	MEEKMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Boswell	3676	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher Boswell. (3) _____.

(2) Dave Grover. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,5,10,28 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. Patent Number 6,470,718 to Yang.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant discussed the limitation of "user rotatable knob", especially regarding element 27 not being a knob and it not being user rotatable. The examiner stated that the indication of element 27 was to be the ring, not the entire hook assembly, as well as element 27 is indirectly rotatable via button 4. Applicant discussed the current invention having a cinch position and a locked position. The examiner stated that Yang does disclose the two positions but does not disclose that the cable cannot be moved when locked. Applicant asked about the breakable portion of yang not being on the knob, the examiner stated that this was a typographical error. Applicant further discussed that element 36 is not in the passageway of Yang. The examiner indicated that the passageway was considered the entire opening in the housing, not just the path of the cable. The applicant and examiner further discussed language to the claims indicating a frangible connection instead of a breakable connection between the cam and the actuating member, as well as a blocking member or spring member, allowing a cable to be inserted in only one direction when the lock is in the unlocked condition, where the blocking member would be in direct interaction with the cable.